

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/889,243 | MIYAZAKI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| ALEX NOGUROLA | 1753 | | |

All Participants: **Status of Application:** *non-final rejection*

(1) ALEX NOGUROLA. (3) _____.
 (2) Alan Miller. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2004 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
 Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
45, 46, 66

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner and Mr. Miller discussed how to amend claims 45, 46, and 66 to avoid 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejections.

Part III.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Alexander Nogurola
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)